

# ETO MIDDLE SCHOOLS MATHEMATICS

## (Avg. % Correct % at Mastery)

School Name	BASELINE 2010: GRADE 6						FALL 2010 Interim Assessment: Grade 6						Growth in Avg. % Correct from Baseline	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band from Baseline	WINTER 2011 Interim						Growth in Avg. % Correct Fall Interim	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band from Fall Interim	Growth in Avg. % Correct from Baseline	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band from Baseline	6th Grade: ETO March Monthly						Growth in Avg. % Correct	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band
	Total # students	Overall (35 pts max)					Total # students	Overall (40 pts max)							Total # students	Overall (42 pts max)									Total # students	Overall (42 pts max)						
		Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band			Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band					Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band							Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band			
		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient							
6141 Charles R Drew Mid.	149	24%	149		100.0%		166	36%	165	1	99.4%	0.6%	12%	1%	164	32%	163	1	99.4%	0.6%	-4%	0%	8%	0.6%	156	33%	156	0	100%	0%	1%	-1%
6481 Miami Edison Mid.	155	25%	155		100.0%		174	43%	167	7	96.0%	4.0%	18%	4%	177	36%	171	6	96.6%	3.4%	-7%	-1%	11%	3.4%	155	37%	150	5	97%	3%	1%	0%
6631 North Miami Mid.	275	26%	275		100.0%		283	39%	275	8	97.2%	2.8%	13%	3%	295	37%	281	14	95.3%	4.7%	-2%	2%	11%	4.7%	280	38%	275	5	98%	2%	1%	-3%

School Name	BASELINE 2010: GRADE 7						FALL 2010 Interim Assessment: Grade 7						Growth in Avg. % Correct from Baseline	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band from Baseline	Winter 2011 Interim Assessment:Grade						Growth in Avg. % Correct Fall Interim	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band from Fall Interim	Growth in Avg. % Correct from Baseline	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band from Baseline	7th Grade: ETO March Monthly As						Growth in Avg. % Correct	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band
	Total # students	Overall (42 pts max)					Total # students	Overall (40 pts max)							Total # students	Overall (48 pts max)									Total # students	Overall (48 pts max)						
		Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band			Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band					Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band							Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band			
		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		
6141 Charles R Drew Mid.	126	31%	126		100.0%		140	40%	139	1	99.3%	0.7%	9%	1%	133	43%	132	1	99.2%	0.8%	3%	0%	12%	0.8%	130	42%	129	1	99%	1%	-1%	0%
6481 Miami Edison Mid.	142	32%	141	1	99.3%	0.7%	132	45%	130	2	98.5%	1.5%	13%	1%	134	45%	126	8	94.0%	6.0%	0%	5%	13%	5.3%	126	41%	125	1	99%	1%	-4%	-5%
6631 North Miami Mid.	337	32%	334	3	99.1%	0.9%	344	36%	341	3	99.1%	0.9%	4%	0%	352	44%	338	14	96.0%	4.0%	8%	4%	12%	3.1%	332	42%	327	5	98%	2%	-2%	-2%

School Name	BASELINE 2010: GRADE 8						FALL 2010 Interim Assessment: Grade 8						Growth in Avg. % Correct from Baseline	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band from Baseline	Winter 2011 Interim Assessment:Grade						Growth in Avg. % Correct Fall Interim	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band from Fall Interim	Growth in Avg. % Correct from Baseline	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band from Baseline	8th Grade Acuity March monthly						Growth in Avg. % Correct	Growth in % at Satisfact. Band
	Total # students	Overall (34 pts max)					Total # students	Overall (42 pts max)							Total # students	Overall (40 pts max)									Total # students	Overall (40 pts max)						
		Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band			Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band					Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band							Avg % Correct	# in Performance Band		% in Performance Band			
		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Non Proficient	Proficient	Non Proficient	Proficient		Tier 1 0-25%	Tier 2 26-50%	Tier 3 51-75%	Tier 4 76-100%		
6141 Charles R Drew Mid.	153	39%	151	2	98.7%	1.3%	166	42%	161	5	97.0%	3.0%	3%	2%	156	48%	137	19	87.8%	12.2%	6%	11%	9%	10.9%	144	40%	19%	60%	19%	2%	-8%	-10%
6481 Miami Edison Mid.	148	41%	144	4	97.3%	2.7%	149	54%	121	28	81.2%	18.8%	13%	16%	137	53%	109	28	79.6%	20.4%	-1%	4%	12%	17.7%	130	44%	11%	60%	25%	5%	-9%	-15%
6631 North Miami Mid.	362	39%	346	16	95.6%	4.4%	372	41%	355	17	95.4%	4.6%	2%	0%	384	49%	337	47	87.8%	12.2%	8%	12%	10%	7.8%	370	44%	15%	55%	23%	7%	-5%	-5%